

Stochastic integration
w.r.t.
cylindrical Lévy processes

Markus Riedle

King's College London

Stochastic heat equation

Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(t, r) = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial r^2}(t, r) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathcal{O}, t \in [0, T].$$

Stochastic heat equation

Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(t, r) = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial r^2}(t, r) + f(X(t, r)) \underbrace{\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}(t, r)}_{\text{noise in } t \text{ and } r} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathcal{O}, t \in [0, T].$$

Stochastic heat equation

Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(t, r) = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial r^2}(t, r) + f(X(t, r)) \underbrace{\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}(t, r)}_{\text{noise in } t \text{ and } r} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathcal{O}, t \in [0, T].$$

Search solution $X := (X(t, \cdot) : t \in [0, T])$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$:

$$\frac{dX}{dt}(t, \cdot) = AX(t, \cdot) + f(X(t, \cdot)) \underbrace{\frac{dN}{dt}(t, \cdot)}_{\text{noise in } L^2(\mathcal{O})} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

where $A : \text{dom}(A) \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O})$ with $Af = \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2}$.

Stochastic heat equation

Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(t, r) = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial r^2}(t, r) + f(X(t, r)) \underbrace{\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}(t, r)}_{\text{noise in } t \text{ and } r} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathcal{O}, t \in [0, T].$$

Search solution $X := (X(t, \cdot) : t \in [0, T])$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$:

$$\frac{dX}{dt}(t, \cdot) = AX(t, \cdot) + f(X(t, \cdot)) \underbrace{\frac{dN}{dt}(t, \cdot)}_{\text{noise in } L^2(\mathcal{O})} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

where $A : \text{dom}(A) \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O})$ with $Af = \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2}$. As integral equation:

$$X(t, \cdot) = X(0, \cdot) + \int_0^t AX(s, \cdot) ds + \underbrace{\int_0^t f(X(s, \cdot)) N(ds, \cdot)}_{\text{stochastic integral}} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Cylindrical processes

Cylindrical processes

Let U be a Banach space with dual space U^* and dual pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) denote a probability space.

Definition: A cylindrical random variable X in U is a mapping

$$X : U^* \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{linear and continuous.}$$

A cylindrical process in U is a family $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$ of cylindrical random variables.

- I. E. Segal, 1954
- I. M. Gel'fand 1956: Generalized Functions
- L. Schwartz 1969: seminaire rouge, radonifying operators

Example: induced cylindrical random variable

Example: Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow U$ be a (classical) random variable. Then

$$Z : U^* \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad Za := \langle X, a \rangle$$

defines a cylindrical random variable.

Example: induced cylindrical random variable

Example: Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow U$ be a (classical) random variable. Then

$$Z : U^* \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad Za := \langle X, a \rangle$$

defines a cylindrical random variable.

But: not for every cylindrical random variable $Z : U^* \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ there exists a classical random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow U$ satisfying

$$Za = \langle X, a \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in U^*.$$

Example: cylindrical Wiener process

Definition:

A cylindrical process $(W(t) : t \geq 0)$ is called a *cylindrical Wiener process*, if for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in U^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the stochastic process :

$$\left((W(t)a_1, \dots, W(t)a_n) : t \geq 0 \right)$$

is a centralised Wiener process in \mathbb{R}^n .

Cylindrical Lévy processes

Definition: cylindrical Lévy process

Definition: (Applebaum, Riedle (2010))

A cylindrical process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$ is called a *cylindrical Lévy process*, if for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in U^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the stochastic process :

$$\left((L(t)a_1, \dots, L(t)a_n) : t \geq 0 \right)$$

is a Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n .

No semimartingale decomposition in U

If $a \in U^*$ then $(L(t)a : t \geq 0)$ is a Lévy process in \mathbb{R} :

$$L(t)a = tp(a) + W_a(t) + \int_{|\beta| \leq 1} \beta \tilde{N}_a(t, d\beta) + \int_{|\beta| > 1} \beta N_a(t, d\beta),$$

where $p(a) \in \mathbb{R}$, W_a is Wiener in \mathbb{R} and N is Poisson process.

No semimartingale decomposition in U

If $a \in U$ then $(L(t)a : t \geq 0)$ is a Lévy process in \mathbb{R} :

$$L(t)a = tp(a) + W_a(t) + \int_{|\beta| \leq 1} \beta \tilde{N}_a(t, d\beta) + \int_{|\beta| > 1} \beta N_a(t, d\beta),$$

where $p(a) \in \mathbb{R}$, W_a is Wiener in \mathbb{R} and N is Poisson process.

But no decomposition

- in cylindrical processes, as $a \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(\|a\|)$ is not linear;
- in U , as L is not U -valued.

Examples of cylindrical Lèvy processes

Example: series approach

Theorem Let U be a Hilbert space with ONB $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$; $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, real-valued Lévy processes.

1) (weak convergence) If for all $a \in U$ and $t \geq 0$ the sum

$$L(t)a := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, a \rangle \sigma_k h_k(t)$$

converges P -a.s. then it defines a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$.

2) (strong convergence) If for all $t \geq 0$ the sum

$$L(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k \sigma_k h_k(t)$$

converges P -a.s. then it defines a genuine Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$.

Example: series approach

Theorem Let U be a Hilbert space with ONB $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$; $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, real-valued Lévy processes.

1) (weak convergence) If for all $a \in U$ and $t \geq 0$ the sum

$$L(t)a := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, a \rangle \sigma_k h_k(t)$$

converges P -a.s. then it defines a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$.

Example 0: for h_k standard, real-valued Brownian motion:

$$(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{\infty} \iff \text{cylindrical (Wiener) Lévy process}$$

$$(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \iff \text{honest (Wiener) Lévy process}$$

Example: series approach

Theorem Let U be a Hilbert space with ONB $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$; $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, real-valued Lévy processes.

1) (weak convergence) If for all $a \in U$ and $t \geq 0$ the sum

$$L(t)a := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, a \rangle \sigma_k h_k(t)$$

converges P -a.s. then it defines a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$.

Example 1: for h_k Poisson process with intensity 1:

$$(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 \iff \text{cylindrical Lévy process}$$

$$(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1 \iff \text{honest Lévy process}$$

Example: series approach

Theorem Let U be a Hilbert space with ONB $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$; $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, real-valued Lévy processes.

1) (weak convergence) If for all $a \in U$ and $t \geq 0$ the sum

$$L(t)a := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, a \rangle \sigma_k h_k(t)$$

converges P -a.s. then it defines a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$.

Example 2: for h_k symmetric, standardised, α -stable:

$$(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{(2\alpha)/(2-\alpha)} \iff \text{cylindrical Lévy process}$$

$$(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{\alpha} \iff \text{honest Lévy process}$$

Example: subordination

Theorem

Let W be a cylindrical Wiener process in a Banach space U ,
 ℓ be a real-valued Lévy subordinator, independent of W .

Then, for each $t \geq 0$,

$$L(t) : U^* \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad L(t)u^* = W(\ell(t))u^*$$

defines a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$ in U .

Stochastic integration

Stochastic integration w.r.t cylindrical martingales

- M. Métivier, J. Pellaumail, 1980
- G. Kallianpur, J. Xiong, 1996
- R. Mikulevicius, B.L. Rozovskii, 1998.

Stochastic integration: classical case

Assume: Y classical Lévy process in a Hilbert space U

$$\Psi(s) := \mathbb{1}_{(a,b]}(s) \otimes \Phi \quad \text{for } \Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V).$$

Then $\int_0^T \Psi(s) dY(s) = \Phi(Y(b) - Y(a))$

defines on $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{ \text{space of simple stochastic processes} \}$ the operator

$$I : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; V).$$

Stochastic integration: classical case

Assume: Y classical Lévy process in a Hilbert space U

$$\Psi(s) := \mathbb{1}_{(a,b]}(s) \otimes \Phi \quad \text{for } \Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V).$$

Then $\int_0^T \Psi(s) dY(s) = \Phi(Y(b) - Y(a))$

defines on $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{ \text{space of simple stochastic processes} \}$ the operator

$$I : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; V).$$

Semimartingale decomposition: $Y(t) = M(t) + A(t)$ results in

$$I = I_M + I_A,$$

where $I_M : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^2(\Omega; V)$

$$I_A : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; V)$$

Stochastic integration: classical case

Assume: Y classical Lévy process in a Hilbert space U

$$\Psi(s) := \mathbb{1}_{(a,b]}(s) \otimes \Phi \quad \text{for } \Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V).$$

Then $\int_0^T \Psi(s) dY(s) = \Phi(Y(b) - Y(a))$

defines on $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{ \text{space of simple stochastic processes} \}$ the operator

$$I : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; V).$$

Semimartingale decomposition: $Y(t) = M(t) + A(t)$ results in

$$I = I_M + I_A,$$

where $I_M : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^2(\Omega; V)$ extending by martingale properties

$I_A : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; V)$ extending by bounded variation

Stochastic integration: cylindrical case

Assume: Y classical Lévy process in a Hilbert space U

$$\Psi(s) := \mathbb{1}_{(a,b]}(s) \otimes \Phi \quad \text{for} \quad \Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \int_0^T \Psi(s) dY(s), v \rangle &= \langle \Phi(Y(b) - Y(a)), v \rangle \\ &= \langle Y(b) - Y(a), \Phi^* v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Stochastic integration: cylindrical case

Assume: Y classical Lévy process in a Hilbert space U

$$\Psi(s) := \mathbb{1}_{(a,b]}(s) \otimes \Phi \quad \text{for } \Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \int_0^T \Psi(s) dY(s), v \rangle &= \langle \Phi(Y(b) - Y(a)), v \rangle \\ &= \langle Y(b) - Y(a), \Phi^* v \rangle \\ &= (L(b) - L(a))(\Phi^* v) \end{aligned}$$

if $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$ is a cylindrical Lévy process in U .

Stochastic integration: cylindrical case

Assume: Y classical Lévy process in a Hilbert space U

$$\Psi(s) := \mathbb{1}_{(a,b]}(s) \otimes \Phi \quad \text{for } \Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \int_0^T \Psi(s) dY(s), v \rangle &= \langle \Phi(Y(b) - Y(a)), v \rangle \\ &= \langle Y(b) - Y(a), \Phi^* v \rangle \\ &= (L(b) - L(a))(\Phi^* v) \end{aligned}$$

if $(L(t) : t \geq 0)$ is a cylindrical Lévy process in U .

Two problems:

- does there exist a random variable $J : \Omega \rightarrow V$ such that:

$$\langle J, v \rangle = (L(b) - L(a))(\Phi^* v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

- Is the mapping $I : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L_P^0(\Omega; V)$ with $\Psi \mapsto \int_0^T \Psi(s) dL(s)$ continuous?
no semimartingale decomposition of L in U

Radonifying the increments

Consider for fixed $0 \leq t_k \leq t_{k+1}$ a simple random variable

$$\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) \quad \Phi(\omega) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(\omega) \varphi_i,$$

where $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$

$$A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{t_k} := \sigma(L(s)u : s \in [0, t_k], u \in U).$$

Since φ_i is Hilbert-Schmidt there exists $Z_i : \Omega \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k))(\varphi_i^* v) = \langle Z_i, v \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Radonifying the increments

Consider for fixed $0 \leq t_k \leq t_{k+1}$ a simple random variable

$$\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) \quad \Phi(\omega) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(\omega) \varphi_i,$$

where $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$

$$A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{t_k} := \sigma(L(s)u : s \in [0, t_k], u \in U).$$

Since φ_i is Hilbert-Schmidt there exists $Z_i : \Omega \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k))(\varphi_i^* v) = \langle Z_i, v \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Define the V -valued random variable

$$\Phi(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{A_i} Z_i.$$

It satisfies for each $v \in V$:

$$\langle \Phi(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)) \rangle \langle v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{A_i} (L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k))(\varphi_i^* v)$$

Radonifying the increments

Theorem: (with A. Jakubowski)

Let $0 \leq t_k \leq t_{k+1}$ be fixed. For each \mathcal{F}_{t_k} -measurable random variable

$$\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V),$$

there exists a random variable $Y : \Omega \rightarrow V$ and a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple random variables such that $\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$ P -a.s. and

$$Y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)) \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Define: $\Phi(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)) := Y.$

Defining the stochastic integral

For a simple stochastic process of the form

$$\Psi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V), \quad \Psi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}(t) \Phi_j,$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$,

$\Phi_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ is \mathcal{F}_{t_j} -measurable,

define the V -valued stochastic integral

$$I(\Psi) := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Phi_j(L(t_{j+1}) - L(t_j))$$

Defining the stochastic integral

For a simple stochastic process of the form

$$\Psi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V), \quad \Psi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}(t) \Phi_j,$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$,

$\Phi_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ is \mathcal{F}_{t_j} -measurable,

define the V -valued stochastic integral

$$I(\Psi) := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Phi_j(L(t_{j+1}) - L(t_j))$$

Simple stochastic processes are dense in

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2) := \{\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow D_-([0, T], \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)) : \text{adapted}\},$$

where $D_-([0, T], \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)) := \{f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) : \text{càglàd}\}$,

equipped with the Skorokhod J_1 -topology.

Defining the stochastic integral

Theorem: (with A. Jakubowski)

For every $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ there exists an V -valued random variable $I(\Psi)$ and a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple stochastic processes such that $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ P -a.s. in J_1 and

$$\int_0^T \Psi(s) dL(s) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\Psi_n) \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Defining the stochastic integral

Theorem: (with A. Jakubowski)

For every $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ there exists an H -valued random variable $I(\Psi)$ and a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple stochastic processes such that $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ P -a.s. in J_1 and

$$\int_0^T \Psi(s) dL(s) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\Psi_n) \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Proof: Show that

- (1) $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight
- (2) for every $v \in V$ there exists a real-valued random variable Y_v such
$$\langle I(\Psi_n), v \rangle \rightarrow Y_v \text{ in probability}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ P -a.s. in J_1 , where

$$\Psi_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_n-1} \Phi_{n,j} \mathbb{1}_{(t_{n,j}, t_{n,j+1}]}(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,j} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_{n,j}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) \\ 0 = t_{n,0} &\leq \dots \leq t_{n,N_n} = T \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ P -a.s. in J_1 , where

$$\Psi_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_n-1} \Phi_{n,j} \mathbb{1}_{(t_{n,j}, t_{n,j+1}]}(t). \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,j} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_{n,j}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) \\ 0 = t_{n,0} &\leq \dots \leq t_{n,N_n} = T \end{aligned}$$

Then the stochastic integral $I(\Psi_n)$ is given by

$$I(\Psi_n) = J_{n,0} + \dots + J_{n,N_n-1},$$

where $J_{n,j} := \Phi_{n,j}(L(t_{n,j+1}) - L(t_{n,j})) : \Omega \rightarrow V$.

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ P -a.s. in J_1 , where

$$\Psi_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_n-1} \Phi_{n,j} \mathbb{1}_{(t_{n,j}, t_{n,j+1}]}(t). \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,j} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_{n,j}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) \\ 0 = t_{n,0} &\leq \dots \leq t_{n,N_n} = T \end{aligned}$$

Then the stochastic integral $I(\Psi_n)$ is given by

$$I(\Psi_n) = J_{n,0} + \dots + J_{n,N_n-1},$$

$$\text{where } J_{n,j} := \Phi_{n,j}(L(t_{n,j+1}) - L(t_{n,j})) : \Omega \rightarrow V.$$

Define the regular conditional distribution $\mu_{n,j} : \mathfrak{B}(V) \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\mu_{n,j}(B, \omega) := P\left(J_{n,j} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n,j}}\right)(\omega)$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$ P -a.s. in J_1 , where

$$\Psi_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_n-1} \Phi_{n,j} \mathbb{1}_{(t_{n,j}, t_{n,j+1}]}(t). \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,j} &: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_{n,j}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2(U, V) \\ 0 = t_{n,0} &\leq \dots \leq t_{n,N_n} = T \end{aligned}$$

Then the stochastic integral $I(\Psi_n)$ is given by

$$I(\Psi_n) = J_{n,0} + \dots + J_{n,N_n-1},$$

$$\text{where } J_{n,j} := \Phi_{n,j}(L(t_{n,j+1}) - L(t_{n,j})) : \Omega \rightarrow V.$$

Define the regular conditional distribution $\mu_{n,j} : \mathfrak{B}(V) \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n,j}(B, \omega) &:= P\left(J_{n,j} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n,j}}\right)(\omega) \\ &= \left(\underbrace{\lambda}_{\text{cylindrical Law of } L(1)} \circ \left(\Phi_{n,j}(\omega)\right)^{-1} \right)^{t_{n,j+1}-t_{n,j}}(B) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $(J_{n,j}^* : j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be r.v. on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfying

- row-wise conditional independent under a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$;
- $\tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B | \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B | \mathcal{F}_{n,j}) = P(J_{n,j} \in B | \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), B \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $(J_{n,j}^* : j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be r.v. on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfying

- row-wise conditional independent under a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$;
- $\tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}) = P(J_{n,j} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), B \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

Define the (tangent) sum

$$I^*(\Psi_n) := J_{n,0}^* + \dots + J_{n,N_n-1}^*,$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $(J_{n,j}^* : j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be r.v. on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfying

- row-wise conditional independent under a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$;
- $\tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B | \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B | \mathcal{F}_{n,j}) = P(J_{n,j} \in B | \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), B \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

Define the (tangent) sum

$$I^*(\Psi_n) := J_{n,0}^* + \dots + J_{n,N_n-1}^*,$$

Theorem 2. (*Jakubowski 1988, Jakubowski, Riedle 2016*)

If $\sum_{k=0}^{N_n-1} J_{n,k}^*$ is tight then $\sum_{k=0}^{N_n-1} J_{n,k}$ is tight.

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $(J_{n,j}^* : j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be r.v. on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfying

- row-wise conditional independent under a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$;
- $\tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}) = P(J_{n,j} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), B \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

Define the (tangent) sum

$$I^*(\Psi_n) := J_{n,0}^* + \dots + J_{n,N_n-1}^*,$$

and its regular conditional distribution $\sigma_n^* : \mathfrak{B}(V) \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\sigma_n^*(B, \omega) := \tilde{P}\left(I^*(\Psi_n) \in B \mid \mathcal{G}\right)(\omega)$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $(J_{n,j}^* : j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be r.v. on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfying

- row-wise conditional independent under a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$;
- $\tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}) = P(J_{n,j} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

Define the (tangent) sum

$$I^*(\Psi_n) := J_{n,0}^* + \cdots + J_{n,N_n-1}^*,$$

and its regular conditional distribution $\sigma_n^* : \mathfrak{B}(V) \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^*(B, \omega) &:= \tilde{P}\left(I^*(\Psi_n) \in B \mid \mathcal{G}\right)(\omega) \\ &= \left(\tilde{P}(J_{n,0}^* \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{G})(\omega) * \cdots * \tilde{P}(J_{n,N_n-1}^* \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{G})(\omega)\right)(B) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

Let $(J_{n,j}^* : j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be r.v. on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfying

- row-wise conditional independent under a σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$;
- $\tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{P}(J_{n,j}^* \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}) = P(J_{n,j} \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

Define the (tangent) sum

$$I^*(\Psi_n) := J_{n,0}^* + \cdots + J_{n,N_n-1}^*,$$

and its regular conditional distribution $\sigma_n^* : \mathfrak{B}(V) \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^*(B, \omega) &:= \tilde{P}\left(I^*(\Psi_n) \in B \mid \mathcal{G}\right)(\omega) \\ &= \left(\tilde{P}(J_{n,0}^* \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{G})(\omega) * \cdots * \tilde{P}(J_{n,N_n-1}^* \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{G})(\omega) \right)(B) \\ &= \left(\lambda \circ (\Phi_{n,0}(\omega))^{-1} \right)^{t_{n,1}-t_{n,0}} * \cdots * \left(\lambda \circ (\Phi_{n,N_n-1}(\omega))^{-1} \right)^{t_{n,N_n}-t_{n,N_n-1}}(B) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ such that

$$A_K := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi_{n,j}(\omega) \in K \text{ for all } j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

satisfies $P(A_K^c) \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ such that

$$A_K := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi_{n,j}(\omega) \in K \text{ for all } j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

satisfies $P(A_K^c) \leq \varepsilon$. For every $\omega \in A_K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sigma_n^*(\cdot, \omega) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (\lambda \circ \varphi_1^{-1})^{*s_1} * \dots * (\lambda \circ \varphi_n^{-1})^{*s_n} : \varphi_j \in K, s_1 + \dots + s_n = T, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ such that

$$A_K := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi_{n,j}(\omega) \in K \text{ for all } j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

satisfies $P(A_K^c) \leq \varepsilon$. For every $\omega \in A_K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sigma_n^*(\cdot, \omega) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (\lambda \circ \varphi_1^{-1})^{*s_1} * \dots * (\lambda \circ \varphi_n^{-1})^{*s_n} : \varphi_j \in K, s_1 + \dots + s_n = T, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \quad = \text{relatively compact since } K \text{ compact and infinitely divisibility} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ such that

$$A_K := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi_{n,j}(\omega) \in K \text{ for all } j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

satisfies $P(A_K^c) \leq \varepsilon$. For every $\omega \in A_K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sigma_n^*(\cdot, \omega) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (\lambda \circ \varphi_1^{-1})^{*s_1} * \dots * (\lambda \circ \varphi_n^{-1})^{*s_n} : \varphi_j \in K, s_1 + \dots + s_n = T, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \quad = \text{relatively compact since } K \text{ compact and infinitely divisibility} \end{aligned}$$

Since $P_{I^*(\Psi_n)} = E[\sigma_n^*]$ we have

$$\{I^*(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is tight,}$$

Proof: $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is tight

For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(U, V)$ such that

$$A_K := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi_{n,j}(\omega) \in K \text{ for all } j = 0, \dots, N_n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

satisfies $P(A_K^c) \leq \varepsilon$. For every $\omega \in A_K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sigma_n^*(\cdot, \omega) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ (\lambda \circ \varphi_1^{-1})^{*s_1} * \dots * (\lambda \circ \varphi_n^{-1})^{*s_n} : \varphi_j \in K, s_1 + \dots + s_n = T, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \quad = \text{relatively compact since } K \text{ compact and infinitely divisibility} \end{aligned}$$

Since $P_{I^*(\Psi_n)} = E[\sigma_n^*]$ we have

$$\{I^*(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is tight,}$$

which implies tightness of $\{I(\Psi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Literature

- D. Applebaum, M. Riedle, Cylindrical Lévy processes in Banach spaces, *Proc. of Lond. Math. Soc.* 101, (2010), 697 - 726.
- M. Riedle, Cylindrical Wiener processes, *Séminaire de Probabilités XLIII, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2006*, (2011), 191 – 214.
- M. Riedle, Infinitely divisible cylindrical measures on Banach spaces, *Studia Mathematica* 207, (2011), 235-256.
- M. Riedle, Stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes in Hilbert spaces: an L^2 approach, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, 17, (2014).
- M. Riedle, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by cylindrical Lévy processes, *Potential Analysis*, 42, (2015).
- A. Jakubowski, M. Riedle, *Preprint 2016*